

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/995,671

Applicant(s)

KIM ET AL.

Examiner

Jean B. Corrielus

Art Unit

2637

All Participants:

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

(2) David Oren.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9/27/05

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 4-7, 12-15 and 17- 19

Prior art documents discussed:


See Continuation Sheet

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 9/29/05
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: Applicant's rep. agrees to amend the claims as indicated in the attached examiner amendment to correct for some minor informalities in claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 12-15, 17-19 so as to placed the application in better form for allowance. In addition, Claim 5 has bee amended to include similar limitations as in claim 1 so as to avoid a potential claim rejection, base on the previously applied references. and claim 8 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 14 to avoid a rejection in view of Nomura US Patent No. 6,272,125, see for instance, col. 3, lines 25-35.